

Appl. No. 09/457,847
Atty. Docket No. 7114
Amdt. Dated 4/11/2005
Reply to Office Action of 02/28/2005
Customer No. 27752

REMARKS

Claims 14, 15, 33-42, 45, 46, 48-50, 56, 60-64, 65, and 66 are pending in the present application.

Claims 56 and 65 have been amended.

No new matter is believed to have been added.

Rejections Under 35 USC 102 and 103 Over Vogel

The Office Action rejects the claims under 35 USC 102 and/or 103 over Vogel, et al. (US 5,532,023). The Examiner points out at page 2, paragraph 3 of the previous Office Action dated 09/14/2004 that "the teachings in Vogel et al. fail to teach or suggest a particular pH range and there is no motivation provided by the prior art that would render such a range obvious". Applicant amends the claims to insert a pH range of from about 9 to about 10.5. Applicant respectfully submits the claims are now allowable.

CONCLUSION

Early and favorable action in the case is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY

By

David V. Upton

Registration No. 47,147

(513) 627-8150

April 11, 2005
Customer No. 27752